

"Allegedly transphobic tweets" thread.

People have been tweeting about my "transphobic tweets" but none have been linking to them. Presumably because those who searched my actual timeline thought 'meh'.

Here are all the tweets that are mentioned in the judgement.

First off, a thread about the government's consultation on the Gender Recognition Act.




Then there was this question, which sparked off quite a big discussion with #developmenttwitter on sex and gender. (about 150 tweets in several threaded conversations)





The judgment quotes five part tweets (and in some cases part sentences) from that discussion



(1) "Bunce does not 'masquerade as female' he is a man who likes to express himself part of the week by wearing a dress".

Here is the full tweet. It is an answer to a question and links to Bunce in Bunce's own words





(2) "Yes. & weird he felt entitled to accept the award, instead of saying "sorry there has been a mistake I am a man who challenges gender norms""

Here is the full tweet



(3) ""He is a part-time cross dresser who mainly goes by the name of Phillip".

Here is the full tweet



(4) "Yes I think that male people are not women. I don't think being a woman/female is a matter of identity or womanly feelings. It is biology."

Here is the full tweet:



(5) ""Bunce is a white man who likes to dress in women's clothes"

Here is the full tweet:



The judgement states, the bundle included tweets from Clair Quentin "who alleged the Claimant had retweeted transphobic material."

This is from a thread in which Clair tweeted screengrabs of my tweets calling me an "anti-trans trans hate cultist" and a "far right bigot"



And urged our mutual professional contacts to block me and




Clair said....



The judgement said "A newspaper cartoon of a person flashing two women at "Hampstead Heath Ladies Pond" with the caption "It's alright – it's a woman's penis".

Here is my tweet. with my words. It is part of a discussion with <u>#legaltwitter</u> about the application of the Equality Act single sex exemptions in relation to Hampstead ponds. The cartoon is from Private Eye





The judgement says I said this

A tweet by the Claimant stating: "Pronouns are rohypnol: important article by ... Sure, sometimes preferred pronouns are polite, and we can be polite when we chose. Bu every women has learnt from experience that politeness is exploitable & can put us in danger.

Pronouns are Rohypnol. They change our perception, lower our defences, make us react differently, alter the reality in front of us

They're meant to.
They numb us.
They confuse us.
They remove our instinctive safety responses.

Here is the tweet.

As you can see the second bit quoted is not my words.

They work."


Personally I will use preferred pronouns, but I don't think that means there is nothing to talk about here. The article is well worth reading.





The judgement said i said this, again the second bit is not my words.

Here is the tweet



As the judgment says I referred to Gregor Murray as "he" in a tweet in a discussion about Scouting (we are both Scout Leaders)



This was accidental (I forgot). They then reported me to the Scout Association for bigotry & lying (complaint not upheld). I was asked to apologise to Murray for mispronouning. I have

said I will, if they apologise for the hurtful & defamatory things they have said about me



j'mnt says: "the Claimant tweeted about her views on tans [sic] women in sport: "Short and to the point by .. "It is unfair and unsafe for transwomen to compete in women's sport"

Here is the tweet. It is a link to an article by <u>@DebbieHayton</u> a transwoman



One more tweet that is quoted



And that is it. The extent of my "transphobic tweets" as included in the judgement.

•••