 THREAD - The Rise of Gender Identity and the Fall of Sex

Hey @edwardlord!

Yesterday I heard about the consultation you sponsored as officer of @cityoflondon regarding "gender identity".

The consultation, the survey and the whole process raised some red flags.

Bear with me

1. On the matter of form

1.1. From my diligencies, I found the "survey" was not widely promoted besides on Twitter. Why @edwardlord?

1.2. Knowing the implications of such survey on many stakeholders (e.g. Schools), was the consultation made available to them directly? Why not?
1. On the matter of form

1.3. According to the @cityoflondon page, you stated the intention to listen to all parties, experts and representative organisations. To do so you choose an online survey...? Who advise you on that?

2. On the matter of methodology

2.1. The language of the report was highly biased and heavily ideological with the report being full of ambiguous terms, wrong concepts and misrepresentations of the EA2010. Let's see in detail. Who wrote the survey questions @edwardlord?

2. On the matter of methodology

2.1. Ambiguous terms like Gender Identity. Where is the definition? Not on the @cityoflondon page. Not on the report. If that’s so important where is the definition? How can someone reply to the survey without knowing the concept??

2. On the matter of methodology

2.2. Wrong concepts with no science-based support like the idea of gender being "Assigned" at birth. It’s SEX and it’s OBSERVED. Plus: there’s no "identification" regarding your sex (NOT GENDER). You either are or you are not!
2. On the matter of methodology

2.3. Again on the matter of "identification". It’s called sexual ORIENTATION. Not PREFERENCE. Logically there’s no "identification" on this. As insulting as you can be, implying that people can "identify" as disable is very wrong!

2.4. Deliberate Ideological Misrepresentations of the EA2010 (@EHRC)

The EA2010 refers gender reassignment as a protected characteristic, NOT GENDER IDENTITY. Concept you can’t even define objectively. Yet you decide to misrepresent the law

3. On the matter of Ideology

3.1. Heavily Ideological and biased survey with full disregard for the EA2010. You know GI is not covered by the EA2010 yet you decide your ideology is more important than the LAW (A complaint will be filled @EHRC)

3.2. Gender Identity is not covered by the EA2010 yet you phrase most of your questions with "Do you identify as:". As expected, the survey lose all its objectivity (zero to start with....) thus raising the question of its whole validity
3. On the matter of Ideology

3.3. Unfair design. By using ideological questions, ambiguous terms and wrong concepts, the survey unfair to disagreeing views by design! You even deemed as "invalid" those who did not answer those biased questions! How different would the results be..

3.4. Disregarding valuable inputs by deeming them as "opposed to the EA2010" when YOU are the one doing that! SEX is a protected characteristic. NOT GENDER IDENTITY. Gender identity is a 100% subjective concept and not covered by the EA2010.

3.5. There are NO gender restricted services. You know that. Services are segregated by SEX. There’s nothing on the law saying that! Gender is not even a protected characteristic under EA2010.
3. On the matter of Ideology

3.6. Misleading information to the public.
"Fresh research" is a lie. A bad designed, barely promoted and heavenly ideological survey is NOT RESEARCH

3. On the matter of Ideology

3.7. Clear Breach of the EA2010. GENDER IDENTITY IS NOT ON THE EA2010!!

3. On the matter of Ideology

3.8. Clear intention to replace the EA2010 by their new GENDER IDENTITY Policy!!!

Do you have anything to say @EHRC? @WomenEqualities?
4. On the matter of Accountability

4.1. The Sponsor @edwardlord

According to the City of London Code of conduct, all members must have regard to the Seven Principles of Public Life. Please see the next tweet.

Overview of the Public Sector Equality Duty


In summary, those subject to the general equality duty must have due regard to the need to:

- Promote equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.
- Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.
- Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act.

Advancing equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.

Accountability

4.1.1. Breach of Objectivity Principle - Please see point 2 (methodology) and point 3 (ideology). Pure disregard by opposing views as per the tweet attached. Mr. Lord unable to distance his reality (being non-binary).

4.1.2. Breach of Accountability Principle - Please see point 1 (Form). No effort to promote the survey. No effort to consult important stakeholders like Schools, Teachers and Parents. Block dissent voices on Twitter.
4. On the matter of Accountability

4.1. The Sponsor @edwardlord

4.1.3. Breach of Openness Principle - See point 1 to point 3

- **OBJECTIVITY:** Holders of public office must act and take decisions impartially, fairly and on merit, using the best evidence and without discrimination or bias.
- **ACCOUNTABILITY:** Holders of public office are accountable to the public for their decisions and actions and must submit themselves to the scrutiny necessary to ensure this.
- **OPENNESS:** Holders of public office should act and take decisions in an open and transparent manner. Information should not be withheld from the public unless there are clear and lawful reasons for so doing.

4. On the matter of Accountability

4.1. The Sponsor @edwardlord

4.1.4. Breach of the Honesty and Leadership Principle - For all the above mentioned

A complaint will be sent to the Standards Committee @cityoflondon
4. On the matter of Accountability

4.2. The City of London Corporation @cityoflondon

4.2.1. Complete disregard for the Public Sector Equality Duty (@EHRC) and misrepresentation of the EA2010 as we can see on the Code of Conduct. GENDER IS NOT PART OF THE EA2010

4.2.2. Deliberate Misrepresentation of the EA2010 and intention to it by their new GENDER IDENTITY Policy

A complaint will be made to the Equality and Human Rights Commission (@EHRC)
Given that @edwardlord decided to block me, breaching several principles from the City of London Code of Conduct, can you help me to raise awareness of this to him?

@guardian @BBCEWS @BBCPolitics @BBCWomansHour @GMB @Channel4News @thetimes @DailyMailUK @Telegraph

4. On Accountability

4.3 In the spirit of full disclosure
I just send my Freedom of Information (@ICOnews) request for the raw data from the faulty survey where HALF the respondents were deemed as invalid without them even disclosing that rule to the respondents before


dear [redacted]

first of all, i hope this message finds you well.

my name is [redacted] and, as a concerned citizen, i write you this e-mail.

after the adoption of a new gender identity policy by the city of london i decided to analyze the whole process and found some serious issues who include breaches of the city of london code of conduct and breaches of the public sector equality duty and ea2010.

given that the adoption was supported by the results from the consultation conducted between july and september 2018;
given that said consultation was conducted using an online survey with faulty design, biased phrasing and ambiguous content;
given that almost half of the respondents were deemed as invalid by some previously unknown criteria;

i hereby ask you to make available the unfiltered, unfiltered and raw survey’s data (minus any confidential data) that was used to support the adoption of a new gender identity policy by the city of london.

best regards,

[redacted]

Dear Lord @Moonie_1

Can you take a look on this thread? Can you advise us on how to proceed?